

**BOARD PROCEEDINGS**  
**June 18, 2024**  
**REGULAR JUNE SESSION**

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment. Present were Kyle Stecker, Chairman, Carter Nath, Joshua Waechter, Roger Tjarks, and Jack Plathe.

Chairman, Kyle Stecker called the meeting to order at 8:00 A.M. followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

There was no public discussion.

Motion by Tjarks and 2nd by Nath to approve the agenda and minutes of the previous meetings dated June 11, 2024. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Stecker and 2nd by Nath to approve the claims and conservation claims. Motion carried unanimously.

Advexure Unmanned Systems	Exp	2415.30	2
AgSource Coop Srvs	Srvs	357.50	1
Ahlers & Cooney, P.C.	Srvs	759.98	2
P Albers	Exp	97.01	1
AMU	Srvs	8571.41	13
Algona Plumb & Htg	Srvs	85.20	1
Alg Pub Co	Notices	3994.08	7
Alg Welding & Mach	Srvs	314.52	2
Allendan Seed Co	Srvs	3024.90	1
Alliant Energy	Srvs	3811.11	4
Amazon Capital Serv	Supp	1059.63	3
Amazon Capital Serv	70	25.98	1
T. Antoine	Exp	500.00	1
Arnold Motor Supply	Parts	2484.41	2
Autel Robotics	Exp	6299.30	1
D Baas	Exp	18.00	1
Banc Implm	Srvs	32.99	1
Ban Mun Utlities	Utilities	294.79	1
Bancroft Reg.	Notices	1558.65	5
Baxter Healthcare Corp	Exp	198.15	1
M. Beenken	Exp	150.00	1
Blacktop Srvs Co	Srvs	278863.50	1
BMC Aggregates	Rock	1676.37	1
Bomgaars	Supp	938.08	6
Bound Tree Medical	Supp	4832.67	1
Brown's Shoe Fit Co	Supp	86.00	1
Bugs N Stuff	Srvs	104.00	1
Burt First Resp	Srvs	10000.00	1
B Buscher	Exp	350.00	1
Buscher Bros	Srvs	8.83	1
C & H Sales and Service	Exp	316.80	1
Card Services	Exp	5214.92	7
Central Salt, LLC	Engineer Supp	2228.47	1
Centurylink	Phone	336.23	2
Chally Repair	Repairs	102.63	1
Chrome Truck Stop	Fuel	45.00	1
Cintas	Supplies	77.39	1
City of Algona	Exp	12844.28	1
City of Lakota	Exp	93.35	1
City of Lone Rock	Exp	106.35	1
City of Swea City	Exp	58.80	1
City of Wesley	Cons	67.67	1
Colors	Supp	183.16	1
Com-Tec Land Mobile Radio	Exp	33248.85	1
Comm Lumber Supply	Supp	125.94	1
Creative Instinct, Inc	Exp	175.00	1
Crisis Canines of the Midlands	Exp	85.58	1
Crysteel Dist Inc	Exp	6300.00	1
Wallace Water Conditioning	Srvs	120.00	2
Dave D Lane	Exp	542.97	1
Dean Meyer Elec	Srvs	78.00	1
Deitering Bros	Srvs	222.52	1
Diamond Mowers	Srvs	2229.90	1
Dump-It Sanit	Srvs	474.00	2
Echo Group Inc	Supp	64.53	1
Ecolab	Srvs	241.11	1

D Eichelberger	Exp	18.00	1
Tony Elbert	Engineer Suppl	250.00	1
Electronic Services System	Exp	2075.20	1
Emergency Mgmt	Exp	1766.66	1
EquipmentShare	Exp	99.67	1
Extra Packaging, LLC	Exp	1617.03	1
Fareway	Supp	1188.47	1
Mid-IA Sales	Srvs	170.36	1
Fenton Coop Telephone	Srvs	251.06	2
J Fosado	Exp	150.00	1
G S S Print Shop	Supp	299.55	1
Gardiner Thomsen	Audit	15875.00	1
Glen's Green Thumb	Exp	159.96	1
Gold-Eagle Cooperative	Exp	32175.94	1
D Green	Exp	20.00	1
Hamilton County Sheriff's Off	Exp	1951.99	1
Dan Hansen	Exp	500.00	1
Heartland Power Coop	Utilities	52.00	1
L Hefty	Exp	252.69	1
C. Hill	Exp	101.19	1
Hiway Truck Equipment	Supp	348.60	1
J Hofbauer	Servs	225.00	1
Hy-Vee	Supp	178.95	2
IA Cty Conservation Sys	Exp	2000.00	1
Iowa Dept of Natural Resources	Miscellaneous	24.00	1
Iowa GEMT Payment Program	Exp	1953.86	1
IA Lakes EC	Elec	667.96	2
Iowa Lakes Regional Water	Exp	78.50	1
Iowa Lakes Regional Water	Utilities	48.50	1
Ia Natural Heritage	Dues	100.00	1
IA Prison Ind	Supp	1008.50	1
Iowa State University	Reg	125.00	1
IPAC	Supp	3938.72	1
ISAC	Reg	280.00	1
ISCTA	Exp	250.00	1
ITsavvy LLC	Supp	138.75	1
S Iwen	Serv	75.00	1
Jacks OK Tire Srv	Srvs	16057.17	7
JEO Consulting Group Inc	Exp	712.10	1
D Johnson	Serv	150.00	1
Johnston Autostores	Parts	143.61	1
M Jordan	Exp	62.50	1
Kemco Tires	Srvs	4108.56	1
Kemna GM	Serv	3761.51	1
Ben & Jill Kinsman	Exp	175.00	1
KLGA	Adv.	386.00	2
M Klujeske	Servs	25.00	1
Ko Co Agri. Assc.	Exp	100.00	1
Kossuth County	Taxes	16087.98	1
KC Sec Road	Exp	5551.01	1
KC Sec Road	Fuel	2606.92	4
KRHC	Srvs	159.00	1
KRHC	Srvs	636.95	2
Lacal Equip	Parts	5199.33	1
Zachary Laubenthal Excavating	Engineer Sup	1174.15	1
T. Leininger	Exp	150.00	1
K Lohman	Servs	150.00	1
Lone Rock Coop Tel	Phone	133.95	1
Louscher Fam. Dentist	Srvs	124.00	1
C Ludwig	Exp	136.68	1
Main Street Specialties, LLC	Servs	3463.88	1
Martin Marietta Agg	Rock	5145.53	1
Martin Mattice Funeral	Srvs	750.00	1
Mathy Const	Exp	275130.30	1
Mechanical Air Systems Co.	Exp	50000.00	1
Mercy Medical Ctr	Exp	2500.00	1
C Merrill	Exp	18.00	1
Merryman Bridge Cons	Cons	9764.02	1
Metal Culverts	Pipe	51938.40	1
Mid Am Energy	Srvs	1456.90	4
Mid Am Research	Exp	170.00	1
Mid Country Mach	Supp	5522.11	1
Midland Power Coop	Utilities	740.82	3
Midwest Technology Services LL	Exp	3379.49	2
Midwest Warehouse Solutions	Engineer Sup	2711.40	1
Midwest Wheel	Exp	911.36	1

A. Miller	Exp	120.00	1
D Miller	Reim	94.95	1
S Nielsen	Exp	1284.22	1
NASSCO	Supp	1108.41	2
New Cooperative Inc MaxYield C	Fuel	3179.58	1
NIACC	Exp	400.00	1
Noregon Systems, Inc.	Engineer Sup	590.00	1
NIA Lumber&Design	Exp	727.76	4
NW Comm	Service	124.85	1
K & H	Fuel	29733.40	3
NuWay Coop	Exp	582.75	1
O'Reilly	Exp	46.75	1
IOS	Supp	242.33	4
D Olson	Exp	251.31	2
MC Overhead Door	Service	399.40	1
Patten Enterprises LLC	Servs	22770.72	1
PCC Billing Services	Exp	3435.08	1
Pet Kingdom	Exp	121.47	1
T Petersen	Servs	50.00	1
Petroblend	Exp	7917.60	1
Pfeffer Precast	Exp	198.00	1
B Pfeffer	Exp	25.00	1
Pitney Bowes Bank Inc	Exp	1000.00	1
Prairie Energy Coop	Lights	17.05	1
Print Shoppe Plus	Srvs	55.90	2
Quality Motors	Exp	273.18	2
Reutzel Excav	Srvs	1475.50	1
RingTel Communications	Phone	93.67	1
Rockmount Research/Alloys dba:	Engineer Suppl	318.92	1
Roethler Elec.	Srvs	1590.90	1
R Schmidt	Exp	150.00	1
Schumacher's Nursery	Supp	38.75	1
SCS Engineers, AR Dept	Exp	4497.00	1
SOS	Service	72.41	1
Sec St Bank	Srvs	30.00	1
Sign Works	Supp	313.78	2
St Hygienic Lab	Supp	1046.00	2
Steier Ag	Supp	620.08	2
Andrew Struecker 206 5th Stree	Engineer Suppli	250.00	1
K Stumme	Exp	150.00	1
B. Swanson	Exp	36.18	1
SC Herald Press	Notices	1498.65	4
Technimount	Exp	16315.00	1
Iowa Sportsman	Exp	300.00	1
Thomson Reuters West	Supp	2176.02	2
Threads	Supp	2773.00	1
K Thul	Exp	150.00	1
Titonka Burt Comm	Phone	2383.18	3
Tom Shipman Jetting	Engineer Supp	1200.00	1
Harrison Truck Centers	Supp	914.01	1
Truck Country "OF IOWA"	Engineer Supp	6777.51	1
St. Lukes Res.	Srvs	252.00	1
US Cellular	Srvs	344.92	1
Verizon Wireless	Exp	2623.08	7
Verizon Connect Fleet USA	Exp	1892.65	2
Verus	Supp	462.50	1
Counsel Ofs & Doc	Supp	1866.50	11
W & H Coop Oil Co	Fuel	20640.19	1
J Waller	Exp	875.00	1
Waste Mgmt of WI-MN	Srvs	67881.32	4
Weaver's Leather Store, INC	Exp	192.90	1
Wellmark BCBS	Fees	278650.03	1
B Wille	Exp	150.00	1
Windstream	Srvs	228.43	2
Winnebago Coop Telecom Assoc	Phone	382.04	2
Winnebago County IT	Exp	123.30	1
Ziegler	Parts	38054.20	1
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>1474844.88</b>	

A monthly update was given by the Veteran's Affairs Director, Dan Green.

Motion by Tjarks and 2nd by Nath to direct a letter of support for the Kossuth County Fair Grandstand project to be written and approved at next week's meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Nath and 2nd by Stecker to approve Resolution #06-18-24-01, which is a resolution appropriating funds for FY 24-25. Chairman Stecker called for a roll call vote: Plathe: yes, Tjarks: yes, Waechter: yes, Nath: yes, Stecker: yes. Motion carried.

**RESOLUTION  
06-18-24-01**

**Appropriations for FY 2024-2025**

WHEREAS, it is desired to make appropriations for each of the different officers and departments for the FY beginning July 1, 2024 in accordance with Section 331.434(6) Code of Iowa at 100% of the total budget.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Kossuth County, Iowa as follows:

Section 1: The amounts itemized by department and by fund on the following schedule are hereby appropriated for the resources of each fund so itemized, to the department listed in the first column on the same line of the following schedule.

**2024-2025 Budget Expense Totals by Department**

1	Board of Supervisors	\$562,064
2	Auditor	\$531,452
3	Treasurer	\$908,718
4	Attorney	\$594,210
5	Sheriff	\$3,590,869
7	Recorder	\$416,417
8	Custodian	\$282,525
11	Election Expense	\$113,900
20	Engineer	\$12,059,450
21	Veteran Affairs	\$107,289
22	Conservation	\$846,867
24	Weed Eradication	\$6,144
25	Human Services	\$1,800
27	Medical Death Invest.	\$51,982
28	Medical Examiner	\$50,000
31	District Court	\$60,913
33	Township Library	\$85,000
34	Historical Society	\$6,000
36	Ambulance	\$2,069,182
39	Relief for the Poor	\$89,596
40	Sanitarian	\$120,572
41	Fair/4-H	\$25,000
48	Miscellaneous	\$64,211
51	Courthouse	\$946,000
52	IT	\$737,475
60	Mental Health	\$94,937
66	Annex Building	\$14,100
73	Safety Coordinator	\$2,500
75	Nature Center	\$39,040
78	Planning & Zoning	\$27,000
79	Human Resource	\$59,796
91	Election Center	\$27,300
92	GIS	\$151,624
99	Non Department	\$4,081,575
	<b>Final Totals</b>	<b>\$28,825,508</b>

**2024-2025 Budget Expense by Fund**

1	General Basic	\$7,664,680
2	General Supp.	\$3,033,274
11	Rural Basic	\$3,856,464
20	Secondary Road	\$12,059,450

12, 14, 17, 23, 24	Other	\$2,211,640
	<b>Final Totals</b>	<b>\$28,825,508</b>

Section 2: Subject to the provision of other county procedures and regulations, and applicable State law, the appropriations authorized under Section 1 shall constitute authorization For the department listed to make expenditures or incur obligations from itemized fund, Effective July 1, 2024.

Section 3: In accordance with section 331.437 Code of Iowa, no department shall expend or Contract to expend any money or incur any liability, or enter into any contract which by Its terms involve the expenditure of money for any purpose in excess of the amounts Appropriated pursuant to this resolution.

Section 4: If at any time during the FY 24-25 budget year the Auditor shall ascertain that the Available resources of a fund for that year will be less than said fund’s total Appropriations, the Auditor shall immediately so inform the board and recommend Appropriate corrective action.

Section 5: The Auditor shall establish separate accounts for the appropriations authorized under Section 1, each of which shall indicate the amount of the appropriation, the amounts Charged thereto, and the unencumbered balance. The Auditor shall report the status of Such accounts to the applicable department and officer, Quarterly or sooner as needed During the 24-25 Fiscal Budget year.

Section 6: All appropriations authorize pursuant to this resolution lapse at the close of business June 30, 2025.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Kossuth County Board of Supervisors declare this resolution adopted June 18, 2024.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kyle Stecker, Chairman  
Kossuth County Board of Supervisors

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tammy Eden  
Kossuth County Auditor

The board acknowledged the transfer that was made from Rural Basic to Secondary Road in the amount of \$450,000. This is Local Option Sales Tax (LOST) money.

Motion by Plathe and 2nd by Tjarks to move forward with the hiring process for replacing two deputy sheriff’s. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Plathe and 2nd by Waechter to approve Resolution #06-18-24-02, which is a resolution dissolving the 28E Agreement with Iowa Precinct Atlas Consortium. Chairman Stecker called for a roll call vote: Plathe: yes, Tjarks: yes, Waechter: yes, Nath: yes, Stecker: yes. Motion carried.

**RESOLUTION NO: 06-18-24-02**

**Authorizing Kossuth County to Terminate Participation with Iowa Precinct Atlas Consortium 28E Agreement**

**WHEREAS**, Kossuth County had agreed to participate in a 28E Agreement for Iowa PrecinctAtlas Consortium by Resolution adopted on July 9, 2013; and,

**WHEREAS**, the County Auditor wishes to terminate participation with the 28E Agreement forIowa Precinct Atlas Consortium;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, It is hereby resolved by the Board ofSupervisors ofKossuth County, Iowa that, Kossuth County will withdraw from 28E Agreement for Iowa Precinct Atlas Consortium, a copy ofwhich is attached.

**RESOLVED** this 18th day of June, 2024, by the Kossuth County Board ofSupervisors, Algona, Iowa.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kyle Stecker  
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

---

Tammy Eden  
Kossuth County Auditor

Motion by Waechter and 2nd by Tjarks to approve and sign the Project Hope Proclamation. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Plathe and 2nd by Waechter to approve Resolution #06-18-24-03 pending the change of Wright County to Kossuth County. This is a resolution objecting to the Iowa Utilities Board's Authority to Enact Eminent Domain Authority Within Kossuth County for Privately Owned and Operated Carbon Dioxide Pipelines. Chairman Stecker called for a roll call vote: Plathe: yes, Tjarks: yes, Waechter: yes, Nath: yes, Stecker: yes. Motion carried.

**RESOLUTION 06-18-24-03**

**KOSSUTH COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

**A RESOLUTION OBJECTING TO THE IOWA UTILITIES BOARD'S AUTHORITY TO ENACT EMINENT DOMAIN AUTHORITY WITHIN KOSSUTH COUNTY FOR PRIVATELY OWNED AND OPERATED CARBON DIOXIDE PIPELINES**

**WHEREAS**, Pursuant to Iowa Code § 479B.7 and Iowa Administrative Code rule 199-13.5, any person, including a governmental entity, whose rights or interests may be affected by a proposed pipeline may file a written objection with the Board not less than five days prior to the hearing scheduled on the pipeline company's application for a permit.

**WHEREAS** Kossuth County submitted a brief objecting to the Summit proceedings and requested that the IUB deny the use of eminent domain for carbon dioxide pipelines.

**WHEREAS** "The power of eminent domain has ancient origins... From early times to the present, property owners have argued that this power should be exercised only in limited circumstances."<sup>1</sup> The philosopher John Locke argued that the "great and chief end, therefore, of men's uniting into commonwealths, and putting themselves under government, is the preservation of their property."<sup>2</sup>

**WHEREAS**, for this reason, the framers of the Constitution sought to limit the government's use of the power of eminent domain in two important ways.<sup>3</sup> First, they included in the Fifth Amendment a requirement for the payment of "just compensation."<sup>4</sup> Second, they required that any taking of private property must be for a "public use."<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> See generally Mary Massaron Ross, The Debate Over the Meaning of "Public Use", Eminent Domain Use &

Abuse: Keio in Context at s 1.1.

<sup>2</sup> See id.

<sup>3</sup> See U.S. CONST. Amend. V ("nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation").

<sup>4</sup> See generally William Michael Treanor, "The Origins and Original Significance of the Just Compensation Clause of the Fifth Amendment," 94 Yale L.J. 694 (1985).

<sup>5</sup> See Lauren A. Wiggins and Timothy Sandefur, A Bibliography of Sources on Public Use in Eminent Domain, 10 Chap. L. Rev. 235 (2006). See also David Schultz, What's Yours Can be Mine: Are There Any Private Takings After Keio v. City of New London? 24 UCLA J. Envtl. L. & Pol'y 195 (2006).

**WHEREAS**, the United States Supreme Court has considered the issue of eminent domain many times. In the landmark case of Kelo v. City of New London, the Court discussed the federal constitutional requirements for determining "public use." The Court noted that there are two opposing rules involved in making the determination. On the one hand, the Court said, it is clear that the government may not take one person's property for the "sole purpose" of transferring it to another person. On the other hand, the Court also said it is "equally clear" that

the government may transfer property from one person to another if future "use by the public" is the purpose of the taking.

**WHEREAS**, if the "public use" requirement of the Fifth Amendment means anything, it means that the government should not arbitrarily take one person's private property and transfer it to another person simply for private economic gain. In this docket, Summit is seeking eminent domain over 1,035 parcels. Through this process, Summit is seeking private gain through a taking of private property that doesn't provide public use.

**WHEREAS** the reason is that this pipeline is fundamentally different from a road or a highway project that the general public can use. It's fundamentally different from a railroad that carries the general public as passengers. And it's fundamentally different from an electric or gas line that a utility uses to serve retail or wholesale customers. Essentially, Summit is justifying the use of eminent domain because it claims the project will create economic benefits for Iowans, but whatever ancillary benefits will accrue from construction of the project, it's clear they are not the primary purpose of the project and are in fact subjective at best.

The primary purpose is clearly private economic gain.

**WHEREAS** the driving force behind this project is climate change policy. Since 2008, Federal tax law has provided tax credits for the sequestration of carbon.

Known as "45Q Credits" after the relevant tax provision, these tax credits were created to encourage the private sector to reduce the amount of carbon released into the atmosphere. In the recently passed Inflation Reduction Act, Congress significantly increased the value of these 45Q Credits. These credits represent a substantial public subsidy for private profit as it is. Taking yet more private property for Summit's private gain only compounds the problem.

**WHEREAS** The *Kelo* case turned on the question of whether the City's economic development plan served a "public purpose." And the Court explained that its prior eminent domain cases had defined that concept broadly due to a longstanding policy of deferring to "legislative judgments" in the area of public use.

**WHEREAS** "Viewed as a whole," the Court said, "our jurisprudence has recognized that the needs of society have varied between different parts of the Nation, just as they have evolved over time in response to changed circumstances. Our earliest cases in particular embodied a strong theme of federalism, emphasizing the 'great respect' that we owe to state legislatures and state courts in discerning local public needs."<sup>6</sup>

**WHEREAS**, in other words, the Supreme Court was unwilling to place obstacles in front of state legislatures in the form of rigid judicial interpretations of the Constitution, and it instead preferred to allow a broad range of purposes to meet the "public use" test.

**WHEREAS**, However, after announcing that it wouldn't adopt a strict federal standard, the Court in *Kelo* went on to state that "nothing in our opinion precludes any State from placing further restrictions on its exercise of the takings power."<sup>7</sup>

**WHEREAS**, even before the *Kelo* case was decided, many states had imposed "public use" requirements that were stricter than the more flexible federal minimum standard, and many states since then have imposed additional restrictions in the wake of the *Kelo* decision. These additional restrictions on the use of eminent domain can take the form of either state constitutional requirements or state statutory requirements. Iowa has adopted additional restrictions.

**WHEREAS**, Like the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Article I, section 18 of the Iowa Constitution also contains a "takings" clause, and like the Fifth Amendment, it also requires that private property not be taken "for public use" without "just compensation."

**WHEREAS** The Iowa Supreme Court is the final authority on the interpretation of the Iowa Constitution, and while it generally considers Federal interpretations of the Takings Clause to be persuasive, it is not required to interpret the Iowa Takings Clause in the same flexible way as the Supreme Court interprets the Federal Takings Clause.

**WHEREAS** The Iowa Supreme Court recently considered the issue of constitutional authority for eminent domain in the case of *Punttenney v. Iowa Utilities Board*, which involved an oil pipeline being built by Dakota Access, LLC. In considering the issue, the Iowa Supreme Court thoroughly reviewed the *Kelo* case and decided not to follow the majority opinion, which had found economic development to be a valid public purpose. Instead, the Iowa court announced that Justice O'Connor's dissenting opinion, which a number of other states follow, was the better interpretation for

purposes of the Iowa Constitution because it provides stronger protection against the abuse of eminent domain.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> Keto v. City of New London, 545 U.S. 469 (2005)

<sup>7</sup> Keto v. City of New London, 545 U.S. 469 (2005)

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board of Supervisors of Kossuth County, under the authority of IA Code 331.301(1), shall "*exercise any power and perform, any function it deems appropriate to protect and preserve the rights, privileges, and property of the county and its residents, and to preserve and improve the peace, safety, health, welfare, comfort, and convenience of its residents.*" Based on the findings of the Iowa Supreme Court in *Puntenney v. Iowa Utilities Board*, the Kossuth County Board of Supervisors finds in fact they are not limited by IA Code 331.301(1), to be "*inconsistent with the laws of the general assembly*" in protecting and preserving private property takings utilizing eminent domain due to the lack of public purpose where in this case the sole purpose is private economic gain by Summit Carbon Solutions and affiliates. Therefore, the Kossuth County Board of Supervisors objects to the use of eminent domain for private economic gain and urges the Iowa Utilities Board not to grant Summit the use of eminent domain for this project.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 18th day of June, 2024.

ATTEST:

---

Kyle Stecker, Chairman

---

Tammy Eden, Kossuth County Auditor

There was no Construction in ROW.

A discussion was held with the Kossuth County Engineer/Zoning Administrator.

A conference call was held with Palo Alto County regarding the repair report for JDD PAK 1 OD. Present in person was all of the Kossuth County Board of Supervisors, Auditor Tammy Eden, Drainage Clerk Marg Mergen and Collin Klingbeil from Jacobson Westergard. Present via phone from Palo Alto County was Palo Alto County Auditor Carmer Moser, Drainage Clerk Jordan Morey and Supervisors Roger Faulstick, Keith Wirtz, Linus Solberg, Ronald Graettinger and Craig Merrill.

Collin Klingbeil from Jacobson Westergard gave the repair report for JDD PAK 1 OD, which was acknowledged by both boards.

Motion by Nath and 2nd by Graettinger to set the date and time for a Public Hearing on JDD PAK 1 OD for July 23, 2024, at 10:00 A.M. at the Kossuth County Election Center. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Stecker and 2nd by Tjarks to approve Joint Drain EK 1 claim to be shared with Emmet County in the amount of \$1,032.85 to B & W Control Specialists. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Plathe and 2nd by Waechter to have the supervisors handle their requests as follows: Supervisors Tjarks: Request 2024-058 DD 77. Motion carried unanimously.

Discussion was held with the Kossuth County Auditor and Kossuth County Board of Supervisors

Motion by Plathe and 2nd by Tjarks to adjourn at 10:19 A.M. Motion carried unanimously.

Attest:

---

Tammy Eden  
Kossuth County Auditor

---

Kyle Stecker, Chairman  
Kossuth County Board of Supervisors